Latest news! IFLA LRM's impact on cataloguing

Massimo Gentili-Tedeschi

Istituto Centrale per il Catalogo Unico, Roma Ufficio Ricerca Fondi Musicali, Milano

IAML Conference, Riga 21.6.2017

IFLA Library Reference Model Overview

Preparing IFLA LRM

- Consolidation of 3 separate FR family models
 - FRBR (1998) + FRAD (2009) + FRSAD (2011) => IFLA LRM
- IFLA LRM builds on existing models, but
 - Resolves inconsistencies
 - Has more general approach, broadens coverage
- World-Wide Review ended May 1, 2016
- Text revised, August 2016-April 2017
- Model sent for approval of IFLA Committee on Standards, April 17, 2017
- Draft available, https://www.ifla.org/publications/node/11412

Modelling Decisions

- High-level conceptual model (i.e. general)
- Framework that can be expanded (extended)
- Used entity-relationship modelling language (entities, attributes, relationships)
- Model the data needed to fulfil user tasks, not library operations

User Tasks

- Find
- Identify
- Select
- Obtain
- Explore

Deprecated
 FRAD:Contextualize

 FRAD:Justify

- Tasks provide model's functional scope
- Confirm end-user focus of the model
- No library internal processes reflected in the tasks
- Reworded definitions to be more inclusive

Entities (FRSAD)

Res

- (means 'Thing' in Latin)
- Any entity in the universe of (bibliographic) discourse

- Renamed from FRSAD: Thema
- But redefined without reference to the subject relationship
- Top entity, all others are subclasses of it
- Needed for more than the subject relationship

Entities (FRBR group 1)

Work

• The intellectual or artistic content of a distinct creation

Expression

• A distinct combination of signs conveying intellectual or artistic content

Manifestation

• A set of all carriers that are assumed to share the same characteristics as to intellectual or artistic content and aspects of physical form. That set is defined by both the overall content and the production plan for its carrier or carriers

Item

 An object or objects carrying signs intended to convey intellectual or artistic content

Entities (FRBR group 2)

Agent

 An entity capable of deliberate actions, of being granted rights, and of being held accountable for its actions

Collective Agent

• A gathering or organization of *persons* bearing a particular name and capable of acting as a unit

Person

• An individual human being

- 2 new entities
- Agent = superclass of Person and Collective Agent
- Agent simplifies responsibility relationships
- Family and Corporate Body included in Collective Agent
- FRBR and FRAD definitions of Person are incompatible
- Decision: use FRBR Person
- NB: Nomen entity handles "bibliographic identities"

Entities (naming)

Nomen

 An association between an entity and a designation that refers to it

- Name = Nomen, entities merged
- Defined *via its function* as a way of referring to some specific instance of another entity
- A string is not a Nomen until it is used to name something

New Entity: Place

Place

• A given extent of space

- Place names refer to areas with fuzzy boundaries or that change over time
- Place is a cultural notion
- FRBR definition (a location) seems restricted to spatial coordinates

New Entity: Time-span

Time-span

 A temporal extent having a beginning, an end and a duration

NB: Precision of recording may vary

- Even brief intervals of time (such as days) have an extent
- Dates are a form of Nomen for Time-spans
- No relation to FRBR entity Event

Deprecated entities

Concept

Object

Event

Identifier

Controlled Access Point

Rules

Agency

(Place)

- Deprecated
- As they are not a universal categorization of "other" entities
- An implementation can choose to use them as categories under Res, if useful
- In part reduntant, replaced by relationsips or attributes (category) of other entities

Entities: Summary

- 11 entities in total (reduced from 18)
- 5 from FRBR (WEMI, Person)
- 1 from FRSAD (Res=Thema)
- 1 from both FRAD+FRSAD (Nomen=Name)
- 4 new (Agent, Collective Agent, Place, Time-span)
- 10 entities deprecated (Family, Corporate Body, Identifier, Controlled Access Point, Rules, Agency, Concept, Object, Event, Place)

Properties: Attributes or Relationships?

- A property is defined using a <u>relationship</u> when it links two entities declared in the model
- A property is defined using an <u>attribute</u> when it provides other information significant for characterizing an entity
- This information can be given as:
 - Values from a controlled list
 - Transcribed data (literal)
 - Free-text (literal)

Attributes

Data describing instances of entities

- Goal to list only important or representative attributes
 - Must not repeat/redo ISBD
- 37 attributes defined for 10 entities
- NOT an exhaustive listing of possible attributes
- Implementations can expand by adding the attributes that are needed

Attributes: Res

Category

- A type to which the *res* belongs
- Allows the creation of sub-classes of entities

Note

- Any kind of information about a *res* that is not recorded through the use of specific attributes and/or relationships
- Both apply to all entities without needing to be repeated in the model

WEMI Attributes: Summary

- Total: 18 attributes
- Work: 2 Category, Representative expression attribute
- Expression: 8 Category, Extent, Intended audience, Use rights, Cartographic scale, Language, Key, Medium of performance
- Manifestation: 6 Category of carrier, Extent, Intended audience, Manifestation statement, Access conditions, Use rights
- Item: 2 Location, Use rights

Representative Expression Attribute

- New WORK attribute
- An attribute which is deemed essential in characterizing the *work* and whose values are taken from a representative or canonical *expression* of the *work*
- Generally multivalued, the attributes chosen depend on the Category of *work*
- The source expression(s) for each attribute may vary, criteria defined by cataloguing standards

Manifestation Statement

- A statement appearing in exemplars of the *manifestation* and deemed to be significant for users to understand how the resource represents itself
- All transcribed data is a kind of statement
- Significant feature of the manifestation entity
- Generally typed by the cataloguing rules according to desired granularity (titles, statements of responsibility, edition, publisher statement, place of publication, etc.)
- May be composed as a whole, or as individual statements

Former Work and Expression Attributes

- Replaced by relationships
 - to Nomen: Title
 - to Nomen: Numeric designation (for musical works)
 - to Place: Place of ..., Place of origin
 - to Time-span: Date of ...
- Aspect of the subject relationship: (Geographic) Coordinates

Former Manifestation and Item Attributes

- Replaced by relationships
 - to Nomen: Identifier, Fingerprint
 - to Nomen: Title
 - to Agent: Publisher/Distributor, Fabricator/Manufacturer, Provenance
- Removed material-specific (Manifestation)
- Removed administrative metadata (Item)
- Replaced by Manifestation Statement
 - Replaced most FRBR attributes of Manifestation (except for those not transcribed)

Attributes: Nomen

- Category, Scheme, Intended audience
- **Context of use** (Information as to the context(s) in which a *nomen* is used by the *agent* who is referred to through it)
- **Reference source** (A source in which there is evidence for the use of the *nomen*)
- Nomen string
- Language, Script, Script conversion

Attributes: Place and Time-span

Place

- Category (A type to which the *place* belongs)
- **Location** (A delimitation of the physical territory of the *place*)

Time-span

- **Beginning** (A value for the time at which the *time-span* started)
- Ending (A value for the time at which the time-span ended)

Modelling Bibliographic Identities

- Identities are recognized through clusters of *nomens* (of a *person*) used in a Context of use
- The *Context of use* may be defined as precisely as useful, including by type of *work*, audience...
- Bibliographically useful <u>nomen clusters</u> are the object of authority records and have sufficient reality to be identified by ISNI
- Reference sources provide evidence to link specific nomens to the cluster

Relationships Overview

- Used when both source and target (domain and range) are entities
- 36 distinct relationships
- Inverse relationships, if applicable, have the same ID as direct relationsips
- Can be refined to generate more specific relationships
- These specific relationships may also differ in cardinality, etc. from their parent

Relationships of Place and Time-span

- All specific *Place of* ... or *Date of* ... relationships are *refinements* of the general super-relationships (associated with)
- Replace many attributes
- Also whole-part relationship:

PLACE has part (is part of) PLACE

TIME-SPAN has part (is part of) TIME-SPAN

Next Steps

- IFLA Committee on Standards approval process
- Develop a namespace in RDF for the model, based on entity, attribute, relationship IDs
- Implementation of model (ISBD, RDA, etc.)

The impact of LRM on RDA (1)

- Outcomes of May 17 RSC+ meeting in Chicago
- 3R project
 - RDA Toolkit Restructure and Redesign Project
 - New elements
 - Manifestation statement, for identification, using only transcribed data and given transcription rules (e.g., ISBD)
 - Medium of performance
 - Access points?
 - 12 entities
 - Res probably not implemented
 - New: Nomen, Agent, Collective agent, Place, Time-span

The impact of LRM on RDA (2)

- Replace person, family, corporate body with agent
- Replace resource with specific entity in the WEMI stack
- Extend "4-Fold Path" for data capture and format:
 - 1. Unstructured description (e.g., transcription, free text note)
 - 2. Structured description (e.g., an access point)
 - 3. Identifier (i.e., a string)
 - 4. IRI for the entity for linked data applications

More on RDA

- Aggregates
- Sources of information: provenance of data extended to most elements
- Next RSC meeting 24-26 October 2017, Madrid

The impact of LRM on ISBD

- Alignment of elements done, April 2017
 - resource aligned as sub-class of res
 - complete areas aligned as < to manifestation statement
 - encoding schemes aligned as sub-classes of res
- Outcomes:
 - Not everything is mapped to manifestation
 - Mapping to relationsips:
 - In general excluded, unless explicit (whole-part, aggregates, accompanying materials, notes, identifiers)
 - Will be fully reconsidered in revision process

Next steps for ISBD

- Publish alingment table ISBD-LRM, once approved by IFLA Committee on Standards
- During IFLA Conference (19-24 August 2017, Wroclaw) organise revision process
- 25 August 2017 full-day meeting to analyse impact of LRM (on ISBD, RDA, etc.)
- After IFLA: start of revision process

ISBD in the future

- Will implement LRM for descriptive data
- Will be (more) relational
- Will be as detailed as is now (but describing "principles" on which rules are based)
- Will be extended to non-published resources
- Will become one of RDA policies for descriptive data?

International Cataloguing Principles

- 2016: new minor revision of ICP
- Published online, https://www.ifla.org/publications/node/11015
- Foreseen revisions:
 - Entities
 - Terminology
 - User tasks

ICP

- Terms no longer used in 2016 Statement
 - Authority record See Authority data
 - Bibliographic record See Bibliographic data
 - Collection
 - Concept See Thema
 - Content type See Content form
 - Event See Thema Object See Thema Place See Thema

More news: ICA RiC-CM

- Records in Context: a conceptual model for archival description
- Draft 0.1 presented in Seoul, 5-10 September 2016
- 14 entities, 67 properties, 792 relationsips, 2 properties of relationsips
- WWR ended Jan 31 2017, several comments received
- New version expected!

More news: Italy

- Rules for non-published music resources in preparation
 - For all music resouces (manuscripts, recordings, on electronic carriers, printouts, etc.)
 - Will implement LRM (manifestation, manifestation singleton, manifestation statement)
 - Will apply in part ICA RiC-CM (record, record set)
 - Draft for review available July 2017

Fresh News: Yesterday

- New standard ISBD document approved (with few edits) by IFLA Committee of Standards
- LRM approved as well?

Thank you!

massimo.gentilitedeschi@beniculturali.it

I wish to thank Pat Riva, chair of the LRM Consolidation editorial committee, for letting me reuse and arrange the slides describing the model from a presentation she gave on April 2017.